



Hendon Area Committee

15 September 2020

Title	Referrals from Hendon Residents' Forum
Report of	Head of Governance
Wards	Hendon
Status	Public
Enclosures	None.
Officer Contact Details	Naomi Kwasa, Senior Governance Officer naomi.kwasa@Barnet.gov.uk

Summary

At the meeting of the Hendon Residents' Forum, 4 March 2020, the issue highlighted in Section 1, Item 1 was referred to the Hendon Area Committee for consideration. At the meeting of the Hendon Residents' Forum, 3 September 2020, the issue highlighted in Section 1, Item 2 was referred to the Hendon Area Committee for consideration.

Officers Recommendations

1. That the Committee notes the petitions referred by the Hendon Residents' Forum.

1. WHY THIS REPORT IS NEEDED

1.1. The Council's Constitution permits the referral of issues to Area Committees:

Item 1.	Action
<p>Petition: Traffic measures at the Quadrant from the northbound A41</p> <p>Lead Petitioner: Mr Levy</p> <p>Signatures: 25</p> <p>We, the undersigned residents, call on Barnet Council to note the major inconveniences resulting from some aspects of TfL's most recent A41 traffic flow arrangements in Hendon Central, including traffic queues forming both ways on Station Road, highlighted at the Hendon Residents Forum, and rat-running caused by U-turn prohibitions on the A41; also to note that only a comparatively small part of traffic approaching the quadrant on the Burroughs is left turn; therefore call on the Council to lobby TfL to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. greatly increase the time allowed for left turns from Station Road to the A41, while keeping a local surface level crossing over Station Road, considering all creative options for this; 2. allow U-turns by traffic turning right at Central Circus from the southbound A41-, since this traffic can now only proceed when not in conflict with other traffic; 3. re-allow U-turns by traffic turning right at the quadrant from the northbound A41, while removing the extended green time for left turn traffic from the Burroughs here that would conflict with this; 4. for the Council first to seek TfL's and Council Officers' evidence base and modelling behind the changes that point 3. seeks to reverse; 5. for the Council also first to consider all other suggestions made to them concerning these junctions since the changes. 	<p>The Hendon Area Committee to note the request.</p>
Item 2.	Action
<p>Petition: Speed Indicator Sign for Hendon Wood Lane</p> <p>Lead Petitioner: Steven Wilson</p> <p>Number of signatures: 27</p> <p>We the undersigned petition the council to Install an electronic speed indicator sign on Hendon Wood Lane, NW7, of the "smiley face" kind. Hendon Wood Lane suffers greatly from being a narrow lane where there is barely enough room for 2 cars to pass safely, the pavements are very narrow and any pedestrians are extremely close to passing traffic. Despite this people regularly drive up and down the lane at speeds well in excess of</p>	<p>The Hendon Area Committee to note the request.</p>

40mph (many cars using the lane have just come off the A1 where the limit is 50-60mph and they don't sufficiently temper their speed). As evidence for this the lane is regularly littered with smashed wing mirrors and car wheel plates where cars passing have been too close to each other and driving much too fast. The residents signing this petition feel strongly that there is a requirement for a sign similar to the ones on adjacent streets Totteridge Lane, Rowley Lane etc, that will remind people that they should slow down and adhere to the speed limit.	
--	--

2. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 At the above noted meetings of the Hendon Residents' Forum held the petitions highlighted in Section 1 were referred to this Committee for consideration, as permitted by the Council 's Constitution under Article 3 - Residents and Public Participation, which allows the Residents Forum Chairman to determine the outcome of an issue.

3. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND NOT RECOMMENDED

3.1 None in the context of this report.

4. POST DECISION IMPLEMENTATION

4.1 Post decision implementation depends on the decision taken by the Committee.

5. IMPLICATIONS OF DECISION

5.1 Corporate Priorities and Performance

5.1.1 N/A.

5.2 Resources (Finance & Value for Money, Procurement, Staffing, IT, Property, Sustainability)

5.2.1 None in the context of this report.

5.3 Social Value

5.3.1 Not applicable in the context of this report.

5.4 Legal and Constitutional References

5.4.1 In accordance with the Council's Constitution, Article 3 Residents and Public Participation states that where the petition relates to the functions and responsibilities of an Area Committee it will be reported to the relevant Area Committee. The Lead Petitioner will be given five minutes to present the petition to the committee.

Following the presentation, the Chairman and Committee Members will have an opportunity to ask the Lead Petitioner questions. After the debate the Committee will decide to:

- Take no action
- Refer the matter to a chief officer to provide a written respond to Lead Petitioner within 20 working days; or
- Instruct an officer to prepare a report for a future meeting of the Committee on the issue(s) raised with a recommended course of action

5.5 Risk Management

5.5.1 None in the context of this report.

5.6 Equalities and Diversity

5.6.1 None in the context of this report.

5.7 Corporate Parenting

5.7.1 None identified in the context of this report, any potential implications will be detailed within substantive reports.

5.8 Consultation and Engagement

5.8.1 None in the context of this report.

5.8 Insight

5.8.1 None in the context of this report.

6. BACKGROUND PAPERS

6.1 [Hendon Residents Forum meeting 4 March 2020](#)

6.2 [Hendon Residents Forum meeting 3 September 2020](#)