SPIR HTD Woodhouse Road

Consultation responses and Officer Comments

Public consultation was undertaken on the scheme, between 8 June 2017 and 29 June 2017 incorporating the statutory consultation and noticing requirements for the proposed traffic order changes for waiting restriction. Press and on-street notices were provided for the statutory changes proposed. A letter and a plan distributed to around 210 properties in and near the affected roads inviting comments and objections.

The scheme as consulted incorporated;

- Proposed tactile paving at pedestrian refuge island;
- The provision of 'At any time' waiting restriction at Woodhouse Road, Horsham Avenue, Lyndhurst Avenue junction, Ashurst Road junction and Petworth Road junction.
- Proposed give way triangle road markings at Horsham Avenue, Lyndhurst Avenue and Ashurst Road.

12 responses were responses were received.

2 expressed support for the scheme proposal generally.

9 objected the proposal double yellow lines (of these four suggested adjustments).

1 made comment on the Woodhouse Road junction with Colney Hatch Lane.

More detailed is provided in the table below.

Number of similar responses	Consultation response (Summarised)	Officer Comment
2	Support for the scheme	Noted
9	Objection to the proposal of the double yellow lines for one or more of the reasons below:	a) Single yellow line will not prevent obstructive parking at junction entirely as applies to certain time period.
	a) Object double yellow lines as thinking single yellow line will suffice.	b) Proposed double yellow line is to prevent obstructive parking and improve safety for
	b) Parking state on the road presently is already at full capacity and introducing double yellow lines would serve to be a massive to be a massive inconvenience. Request to	pedestrians and drivers. Suggestion to reduce length of proposed double yellow line will be taken into consideration.
	consider at least reducing the proposed length.	 c) Some vehicles parked too close to junction that partially blocking the crossing point and
	c) Double yellow lines does not provide safer crossing point for pedestrians.	limiting the visibility for pedestrians to cross the road safely.

	 d) Object as proposal will reduce parking space e) Object double yellow lines and concerned some drivers will start parking further down the road blocking his driveways f) Concerned this is a way for the council to eventually enforce residential parking permits as a mean to take more money 	 d) Proposal is to prevent obstructive parking near junction and improve safety and provide better visibility for pedestrians. Will consider length of proposed double yellow lines if feasible to be reduced. e) Proposed double yellow line is to prevent obstructive parking and improve safety for pedestrians and drivers. It is just the resident concerned as public should not parked in front of resident driveway and resident has the right to report the irresponsible act and get the vehicle to be removed. f) Proposed double yellow line is to prevent obstructive parking and improve safety for pedestrians and drivers.
1	The proposed measured seem worthwhile but it is not addressing the root problem with that stretch of road. Mentioned the lack of right turn signal especially eastbound at the intersection of Woodhouse Road and Colney Hatch Lane. Mentioned the difficulty and long queue for right turn traffic and some drivers with reckless behaviour due to the absent of right turn arrow.	Noted. There is a scheme for the improvement of Woodhouse Road junction with Colney Hatch Lane.