

	<h2>Finchley and Golders Green Area Committee</h2> <h3>2 August 2017</h3>
<p style="text-align: right;">Title</p>	<p>Review of the hours of operation in the Temple Fortune 'TF' Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ)</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Report of</p>	<p>Strategic Director for Environment</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Wards</p>	<p>Garden Suburb, Golders Green</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Status</p>	<p>Public</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Enclosures</p>	<p>Appendix A - Drawing no. SCR149</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Officer Contact Details</p>	<p>Gavin Woolery-Allen, Senior Engineer Email: gavin.woolery-allen@barnet.gov.uk, Tel: 0208 359 3555</p>

Summary

Statutory consultation has been carried out on the proposal to amend the current operational hours on Asmunds Place, Hampstead Way, Leaside Crescent and Saffron Close NW11 in Temple Fortune Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ). This report summarises the objections and comments received to the proposal and determines whether the proposals should be introduced or not, and if so, with or without modification

Recommendations

1. That the Committee note the outcome of the statutory consultation as detailed within this report.
2. That the Committee give authority to the Strategic Director for Environment to introduce the measures in the Temple Fortune 'TF' CPZ as originally proposed, through the making of the relevant Traffic Management Orders as shown on Drawing Number SCR149.

1. WHY THIS REPORT IS NEEDED

- 1.1 This report provides the Committee with a summary of the responses received to the statutory consultation on proposals to amend the operational period of the Temple Fortune 'TF' CPZ in certain roads and request that the Committee note the responses received, and to give authorisation to the Strategic Director for Environment to introduce the measures as shown on Drawing Number SCR149.

2 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 The Finchley and Golders Green Area Committee on 30th November 2016 considered the results of the informal consultation carried earlier that year regarding current hours of operation in the area. The decision was made to carry out a statutory consultation on a proposal to amend the existing CPZ hours of operation in Asmunds Place, Hampstead Way (part), Leaside Crescent and Saffron Close, within the Temple Fortune 'TF' Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) so they operated from 10am to 11am and from 3pm and 4pm, Monday to Friday.
- 2.2 As part of the statutory consultation process, which commenced on 23rd February 2017, the proposals were advertised in notices published in the local press newspapers and in the London Gazette. In addition, similar notices were erected on-street in the affected roads and letters and plans outlining the proposals were hand-delivered to premises in the area.
- 2.3 In response to the consultation, a total of 11 pieces of correspondence outlining statements of support, suggestions, comments and objections to the proposal were received. In particular 3 objections were received.

A summary of all the correspondence are as follows:

- Generally, pleased with the proposal as it's aimed at protecting residents spaces for additional hour. (5 mentions)
- About the proposal impacting motorists' ability to park near local businesses (1 mention)
- About the impact on visiting relatives who would not be able to park as long as usual (1 mention)
- That residents of Asmunds Place and Hampstead Way would like their Temple Fortune 'TF' resident permits to be valid for parking in the neighbouring Garden Suburb 'GS' CPZ in other to relieve pressure when their roads are full. (4 mentions)
- Does not see any current issue (1 mention)
- That the proposed hours are insufficient/should extend for longer hours than proposed in Asmunds Place and Hampstead Way to cover 'all day' as it is in Finchley Road and other adjoining roads such as Alyth Gardens NW11 which operate from 9.30am till 5.30pm Monday to Friday (2 mentions).

- 2.4 Other comments received related to a request for a double yellow line for private access reasons, and a query about the existing restrictions in place. Both issues are considered to fall outside the scope of the consultation.

Impact on local businesses

- 2.5 It should be noted that the proposal seeks to improve residents parking opportunity by deterring those non-resident motorists inclined to park in the affected roads throughout the afternoon period.
- 2.6 Therefore it is acknowledged that the proposed amendments to the CPZ period of operation could impact on local businesses in the area as the proposal seeks to reduce the number of unrestricted periods in those roads which are currently used by motorists to park their vehicles for local shopping and other business related activities.

Comments of support for the proposal and proposed period of CPZ operation

- 2.7 Five comments were received stating support for the proposal in its entirety, although two of these comments suggested that the proposal would not fully address their parking problems.
- 2.8 The positive comments give confidence that despite the varying views received to the proposal, there appears to be a general acceptance about the additional operational hours proposed.

Request for 'TF' permit holders to be able to park in the 'GS' CPZ/Merger of CPZs

- 2.9 Officers are mindful that Asmunds Place and part of Hampstead Way fall within the 'TF' CPZ but that these roads are situated directly between the 'town centre' parking controls on Finchley Road, and the Garden Suburb 'GS' CPZ which meets the 'TF' CPZ at the Hampstead Way/Asmunds Hill junction.
- 2.10 The current layout of the CPZs has derived from the 'TF' CPZ being introduced many years prior to the 'GS' CPZ, and has subsequently resulted in some residents of these roads feeling isolated.
- 2.11 Officers consider that geographically there could be a benefit for Asmunds Place and the 'TF' section of Hampstead Way to become part of the 'GS' CPZ, however there appears to be little desire from residents of those roads/section of roads to join the 'GS' CPZ, despite some residents wishes to park in that CPZ with their TF permit.
- 2.12 The request for Asmunds Place and Hampstead Way 'TF' permit holders to be able to park in the 'GS' CPZ has been noted, however it is considered that they are insufficient number of requests for this measure to suggest that such a change is widely desired.

- 2.13 Furthermore such a change could be potentially detrimental to those residents of properties in the 'GS' CPZ immediately adjacent to the 'TF' CPZ, as the 'GS' parking places in front of those properties could be subject to greater demand.
- 2.14 However it is considered that the recommended change to the hours of the Temple Fortune 'TF' CPZ in those roads would negate any need for residents to park in the 'GS' CPZ on a regular basis, as the changes should reduce the number of non-resident motorists able to move from the CPZ to CPZ, with the associated negative impact on residents.

Impact on residents' visitors

- 2.15 Although an additional hour of restriction of the CPZ would undoubtedly impact on those non-permit holders wishing to park throughout the afternoon period, the general operation of the CPZ allows for residents' visitors to be able to park during the restricted periods, through the visitor vouchers system.
- 2.16 Accordingly, residents are entitled to purchase visitor vouchers from the Council, with the purpose of issuing them to their visitors for display in their vehicles, entitling the visitor to park in the relevant parking places during the restricted periods.

Overall conclusions

- 2.17 The proposals for Asmunds Place, Hampstead Way (part), Leaside Crescent and Saffron Close appear to have been generally well received by residents of those roads.
- 2.18 Many of the comments and objections received appear to indicate concerns about whether the proposal is restrictive enough, and there is particular concern about the Asmunds Place/Hampstead Way vicinity, where some residents wish to be able to park freely in the adjacent Garden Suburb 'GS' CPZ.
- 2.19 However it is considered that the level of responses about this issue, and any of the other issues raised is insufficient to suggest any particular change to the proposal, and therefore it is considered that the proposal change of operational hours of the 'TF' CPZ in Asmunds Place, Hampstead Way (part), Leaside Crescent and Saffron Close, on Monday to Friday from 10am to 11am, and from 3pm to 4pm should proceed as proposed.

3 ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED

- 3.1 In considering the proposal, and the associated costs, the Committee may consider not to implement the changes to the Temple Fortune 'TF' CPZ operational hours and the CPZ should remain unchanged in the affected roads. Residents' concerns were identified and it was considered that action should be taken and therefore a do nothing option was not considered

appropriate. Given the nature of the concerns being addressed in this report, the recommended action is considered to be the preferred option.

4 POST DECISION IMPLEMENTATION

- 4.1 The implementation will be carried out as soon as practicable, in line with existing work programmes, and all necessary statutory requirements under the Local Authorities' Traffic Orders (Procedure) (England and Wales) Regulation 1996 (as amended) will be complied with.

5 IMPLICATIONS OF DECISION

5.1 Corporate Priorities and Performance

- 5.1.1 Improving parking and traffic conditions in the affected roads and effectively managing the traffic movement throughout the local road network contributes to the Corporate Plan priority "a clean and attractive environment, with well-maintained roads and pavements, flowing traffic".

5.2 Resources (Finance & Value for Money, Procurement, Staffing, IT, Property, Sustainability)

- 5.2.1 The estimated costs of introducing the proposed amendments to the CPZ in the affected roads, which require the making of the relevant Traffic Management Orders, writing to all properties that were previously consulted and the work to introduce new road signs, are estimated to be £5,000.
- 5.2.2 There is £10,000 already committed from the Area Committee (CIL) budget (approved at the 30th November 2016 Finchley and Golders Green Area Committee) for the consultation to take place and it is not envisaged that any further funding will be required for the implementation to take place.
- 5.2.3 The extended hours will require sufficient on-going enforcement to ensure the measures are adhered to. There will be no amendments to lines necessary and maintenance requirements will remain the same. Any associated costs of enforcement will be attributable to the councils Special Parking Account (SPA). Any income from the CPZ permits or PCNs issued for contraventions will also be allocated to the Special Parking Account.

5.3 Social Value

- 5.3.1 None in the context of this report.

5.4 Legal and Constitutional References

- 5.4.1 Section 16 of The Traffic Management Act 2004 places a duty on the Council as the local traffic authority for the Barnet administrative area to manage its road network to secure the expeditious movement of traffic on its road network. The network must be managed with a view to achieving the objective of the duty, so far as may be reasonably practicable, having regard to the Council's other obligations, policies and objectives. The action the Council may take in performing the duty includes the exercise of any powers affecting

the use of the network, whether or not those powers were conferred on the Council in its capacity as a traffic authority.

5.4.2 The Council's Constitution, in section 15 headed "Responsibility for Functions" (Annex A) states that Area Committees may take decisions within their terms of reference provided it is not contrary to council policy and can discharge various functions, including highway use and regulation, within the boundaries of their areas in accordance with Council policy and within budget.

5.4.3 Statutory consultation with all affected frontages, Ward councillors and relevant stakeholders in accordance with the provisions of The Local Authorities' Traffic Order (Procedure) (England and Wales) Regulations 1996 has been carried out.

5.5 Risk Management

5.5.1 It is not considered the issues involved are likely to give rise to policy Considerations as any amendments to the CPZ would improve parking provision for residents and improve the traffic flow by helping to disperse local traffic into the wider network of local roads.

5.5.2 It is considered the issues involved proposing or amending a CPZ may lead to some level of public concern from local residents who do not wish for the CPZ to be amended, or from residents in the area concerned about parking being displaced into their road or network of roads. However, for both issues, it is considered that adequate consultation has ensured that members of the public have had the opportunity to comment to any statutory consultation on any proposed CPZ amendments, which has been assessed and considered accordingly.

5.4 Equalities and Diversity

5.4.1 Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010 requires a decision-maker to have 'due regard' to achieving a number of equality goals: (i) to eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by the Act; (ii) to advance equality of opportunity between those with protected characteristics and those without; and (iii) to foster good relations between persons with a relevant protected characteristic and those without. The relevant protected characteristics are age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation. It also covers marriage and civil partnership with regard to eliminating discrimination.

5.4.2 The safety elements incorporated into the CPZ design and resultant traffic movements benefit all road users equally as they would improve safety and traffic flow at those locations.

5.5 Consultation and Engagement

5.5.1 Consultation was undertaken as described elsewhere in this report.

5.6 Insight

5.6.1 None in relation to this report.

6 BACKGROUND PAPERS

- 6.1 Item 9 of the Special Meeting, Finchley and Golders Green Area Committee meeting 30th November 2016 - Review of the hours of operation in roads in the Temple Fortune 'TF' Controlled Parking Zone(CPZ)
<https://barnetintranet.moderngov.co.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=712&MId=9085&Ver=4>
- 6.2 Item 12 of the Finchley and Golders Green Area Committee meeting of 6th July 2016 - Review of the hours of operation in some roads in the Temple Fortune CPZ
<https://barnetintranet.moderngov.co.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=712&MId=8749&Ver=4>
- 6.3 Item 7c of the Finchley and Golders Green Area Committee meeting of 30th March 2016 – Member's Item - The Temple Fortune and Garden Suburb Controlled Parking Zones - Councillor Rohit Grover 
<http://barnet.moderngov.co.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=712&MId=8267&Ver=4>
-