

	Finchely & Golders Green Area Committee 2 August 2017
Title	Area Committee Budgets – Outstanding Community Funding Applications
Report of	Head of Governance
Wards	All Wards
Status	Public
Urgent	No
Key	No
Enclosures	Appendix A – The Jewish Migraine Foundation Appendix B – The Boys Club Appendix C – The Paperweight Trust Appendix D – ADDISS Appendix E – Jewish Deaf Association
Officer Contact Details	Andrew Charlwood, 020 8359 2014, andrew.charlwood@barnet.gov.uk

Summary

As part of the 2017/18 Business Planning process Council agreed to remove the Community Funding element from the Area Committees delegated budgets. Following this decision officers have become aware that there are a number of applications which have been part-funded by one Area Committee (with funding ring-fenced for those purposes).

However, the release of funding is conditional on one or both of the other Area Committees agreeing the remaining funding.

The applications were considered and approved by Chipping Barnet Area Committee on 17 July 2017 and Hendon Area Committee on 24 July 2017

This report details those outstanding applications and asks the committee to consider the outstanding items in order for the Community Funding element of the Area Committee budgets to be closed down.

Recommendations

- 1. The Committee determine whether it wishes to support funding of £3,300 for The Jewish Migration Foundation project as set out in Appendix A.**
- 2. The Committee determine whether it wishes to support funding of £3,333 for The Boys Club project as set out in Appendix B.**
- 3. The Committee determine whether it wishes to support funding of £3,333 for The Paperweight Trust project as set out in Appendix C.**
- 4. The Committee determine whether it wishes to support funding of £9,999 for ADDIS project as set out in Appendix D.**
- 5. The Committee determine whether it wishes to support funding of £9,884 for The Jewish Deaf Association project as set out in Appendix E.**

1. WHY THIS REPORT IS NEEDED

- 1.1 As part of the 2017/18 Business Planning process Council agreed to remove the Community Funding element from the Area Committees' delegated budgets. Following this decision officers have become aware that there are a number of applications which have been part-funded by one Area Committee (with funding ring-fenced for those purposes). However, the release of funding is conditional on one or both of the other Area Committees agreeing the remaining funding. This report details those outstanding applications and asks the committee to consider the outstanding items in order for the Community Funding element of the Area Committee budgets to be closed down.
- 1.2 It should be noted that where this committee or one of the other Area Committees does not agree a proportion of the funding required then the application will fall away as the project will not be fully funded.
- 1.3 Members are requested to note that outstanding projects will be funded from a Service Development Reserve and not the CIL delegated budget allocated to the Committee for the 2017/18 financial year.

Jewish Migration Foundation

- 1.4 On 6 July 2016 the Hendon Area Committee considered an application from the Jewish Migration Foundation for funding of £9,900. In debating the item the Committee noted that the service was borough-wide and proposed that the Jewish Migration Foundation re-submit their application to all three area committees seeking an allocation of £3,300 from each. The Committee resolved to "defer the grant application pending consideration of the advice to re-submit the application to all three Area Committees for approval of £3,300 of non-CIL funding with financial information provided and clarity on the total project costs." Following the decision of the Hendon Area Committee

applications were not forthcoming to the Chipping Barnet and Finchley & Golders Green Area Committees, and the item was never reported back to the Hendon Area Committee. This report asks the Finchley & Golders Green Area Committee if it will support funding £3,300 for the Jewish Migration Foundation for the proposal set out in Appendix A.

The Boys Club

- 1.5 On 26 October 2016 the Hendon Area Committee considered an application from The Boys Club. In debating the item the Committee noted that the service was borough-wide and resolved to award £3,333 (a third of the amount applied for) on the basis of successful applications to Chipping Barnet and Finchley and Golders Green Area Committees. Following the decision of the Hendon Area Committee applications were not forthcoming to the Chipping Barnet and Finchley & Golders Green Area Committees. This report asks the Finchley & Golders Green Area Committee if it will support funding £3,333 for The Boys Club for the proposal set out in Appendix B.

Paperweight Trust

- 1.6 On 26 October 2016 the Hendon Area Committee considered an application from The Paperweight Trust. In debating the item the Committee noted that the service was borough-wide and resolved to award £3,333 (a third of the amount applied for) on the basis of successful applications to Chipping Barnet and Finchley and Golders Green Area Committees. Following the decision of the Hendon Area Committee applications were not forthcoming to the Chipping Barnet and Finchley & Golders Green Area Committees. This report asks the Finchley & Golders Green Area Committee if it will support funding £3,333 for The Paperweight Trust for the proposal set out in Appendix C.

ADDISS

- 1.7 On 30 November 2016 the Finchley & Golders Green Area Committee considered an application from ADDISS. During the debate the Chairman mentioned that the Finance Team had suggested that the grant application received from ADDISS could be referred to the Community Leadership Committee for consideration. The Committee resolved to defer the application until Members had received guidance as to which is the most appropriate route for these the grant applications. Following the decision the item never progressed to the Community Leadership Committee and was never reported back to the Finchley & Golders Green Area Committee.
- 1.8 Advice has now been sought from the Corporate Grants team about the most appropriate funding route and it has been advised that Area Committee Community Funding would be most appropriate as the ADDISS application is seeking to roll-out existing work rather than establish a new project. On that basis, the Finchley & Golders Green Area Committee are therefore requested to reconsider the application for £9,999 for ADDISS as set out in Appendix D.
- 1.9 The Committee are requested to note that the application to the Finchley & Golders Green Area Committee contains references to services provided in

Hendon in sections 8, 9, 12 and 16. Members may wish to seek clarification from ADDISS regarding this.

Jewish Deaf Association

- 1.10 On 30 November 2016 the Finchley & Golders Green Area Committee considered an application from the Jewish Deaf Association. During the debate the Chairman mentioned that the Finance Team had suggested that the grant application received from Jewish Deaf Association could be referred to the Community Leadership Committee for consideration. The Committee resolved to defer the application until Members had received guidance as to which is the most appropriate route for these the grant applications. Following the decision the item never progressed to the Community Leadership Committee and was never reported back to the Finchley & Golders Green Area Committee.
- 1.11 Advice has now been sought from the Corporate Grants team about the most appropriate funding route and it has been advised that Area Committee Community Funding would be most appropriate as the Jewish Deaf Association application have already received a corporate grant to start their project and would not therefore be eligible to re-fund existing work. On that basis, the Finchley & Golders Green Area Committee are therefore requested to reconsider the application for £9,884 for Jewish Deaf Association as set out in Appendix E.

2. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 Where a committee has agreed part funding for a project and applications for the remaining funding have not been reported to or agreed by the other area committees the applications are outstanding and have not been determined by the Council. This report is seeking to ensure that applications that have been reported to the various area committees are determined and the Community Funding element of the Area Committees budgets (which are no longer available as of 1 April 2017) is closed down.

3. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND NOT RECOMMENDED

- 3.1 The Committee could decide not to consider these applications as they were originally reported to another area committee for the full funding amount.

4. POST DECISION IMPLEMENTATION

- 4.1 If the Committee agree to the funding requests as set out in the application(s) Finance will release payments, subject to any conditions being met.
- 4.2 If the Committee decide not to support the funding requests the application(s) will not be approved, the applications will fall away and the applicants will need to be notified accordingly.

5. IMPLICATIONS OF DECISION

5.1 Corporate Priorities and Performance

- 5.1.1 The funding enables the Area Committee Budgets to contribute to the Corporate Plan's objective to promote family and community wellbeing and support engaged, cohesive and safe communities, by helping communities access the support they need to become and remain independent and resilient.

5.2 Resources (Finance & Value for Money, Procurement, Staffing, IT, Property, Sustainability)

- 5.2.1 Prior to 1 April 2017 the Area Committees had a dedicated funding stream to enable them to support Community Funding applications. Finance have agreed that in order to close down this element of the Area Committee budget that any applications that are approved by the Area Committees will be funded from an Service Development Reserve. This will not impact on the CIL funding stream currently available to the committee for the 2017/18 financial year.

5.3 Social Value

- 5.3.1 Request for Area Committee budget funding provides an avenue for Members to give consideration to funding requests which may have added social value.

5.4 Legal and Constitutional References

- 5.4.1 Council Constitution, Responsibility for Functions, Annex A details that the Policy & Resources Committee is responsible "To allocate a budget, as appropriate, for Area Committees and agree a framework for governing how that budget may be spent."
- 5.4.2 Council Constitution, Responsibility for Functions, Annex A details that the Area Committees "Administer any local budget delegated from Policy and

Resources Committee for these committees in accordance with the framework set by the Policy & Resources Committee.”

5.5 Risk Management

5.5.1 Failure to determine applications submitted to the council could have reputational implications for the council.

5.6 Equalities and Diversity

5.6.1 Requests for funding allow Members of a Committee to bring a wide range of issues to the attention of a Committee in accordance with the Council's Constitution. All of these issues must be considered for their equalities and diversity implications.

5.7 Consultation and Engagement

5.7.1 N/A

5.8 Insight

5.8.1 N/A

6. BACKGROUND PAPERS

6.1 Hendon Area Committee, 6 July 2016, Minute Item 9, Members Items Applications to Area Committee Budget
<http://barnet.moderngov.co.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=717&MId=8660&Ver=4>

6.2 Hendon Area Committee, 26 October 2016, Minute Item 13, Members Items Applications to Area Committee Budget:
<http://barnet.moderngov.co.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=717&MId=8657&Ver=4>