


| | |
|---|--|
|  | <p>Planning Committee</p> <p>28 January 2015</p> |
| <p>Title</p> | <p>20 Hoop Lane, London, NW11 8QL – F/04373/14</p> |
| <p>Report of</p> | <p>Head of Governance</p> |
| <p>Wards</p> | <p>Childs Hill</p> |
| <p>Status</p> | <p>Public</p> |
| <p>Enclosures</p> | <p>Appendix A - Report to Finchley and Golders Green Area Planning Committee Appendix B – Addendum Report</p> |
| <p>Officer Contact Details</p> | <p>Governance Service governanceservice@barnet.gov.uk 020 8359 7113</p> |

Summary

Agenda Item 8 (Planning permission (reference F/04373/14)) of the Finchley and Golders Green Area Planning Committee on 8 January 2015 was referred up to Planning Committee by two members in accordance with the Constitution. Planning Committee is therefore requested to consider the recommendations and take a decision on them.

Recommendations

- 1. That Planning Committee consider and vote on the recommendations contained in the report previously considered by Finchley and Golders Green Area Planning Committee on 8 January 2015**

WHY THIS REPORT IS NEEDED

- 1.1 The Constitution allows a certain number of members of a Committee or Sub-Committee to refer any decision of the Committee or Sub-Committee up to the next practicable meeting of the relevant Committee to which it reports, by indicating immediately after the decision is taken that they require the decision to be referred up. The report to the relevant Committee to which the Committee or Sub-Committee reports on the referral shall set out the reasons for the referral.
- 1.2 The attached report was considered by the Finchley and Golders Green Area Planning Committee on 8 January 2015. The Committee resolved to refuse the planning permission for the reasons set out below:
 - 1.2.1 **REASON FOR REFUSAL:** Insufficient information has been submitted about the layout of the proposed basement and whether the accommodation will be ancillary to the flats, contrary to policy DM01 of the Barnet Development Management Policies DPD (2102).”
- 1.3 Immediately following the decision, two members of the Committee supported a referral of the decision to the Planning Committee, noting that there was a significant interest in the application which warranted attention from the Planning Committee.

2. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 As set out in the substantive report.

3. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND NOT RECOMMENDED

- 3.1 As set out in the substantive report.

4. POST DECISION IMPLEMENTATION

- 4.1 As set out in the substantive report.

5. IMPLICATIONS OF DECISION

5.1 Resources (Finance & Value for Money, Procurement, Staffing, IT, Property, Sustainability)

- 5.2 As set out in the substantive report.

5.3 Legal and Constitutional References

- 5.3.1 Constitution, Responsibility for Functions- Paragraph 6- Members Rights to

Refer Matters to Parent Body

5.4 **Risk Management**

5.5 As set out in the substantive report.

5.6 **Equalities and Diversity**

5.7 As set out in the substantive report.

5.8 **Consultation and Engagement**

5.9 As set out in the substantive report.

6. **BACKGROUND PAPERS**

6.1 None