

Decisions of the Planning Committee C

8 July 2021

Members Present:-

Councillor Stephen Sowerby (Chairman)
Councillor John Marshall (Vice-Chairman)

Councillor Claire Farrier
Councillor Nizza Fluss
Councillor Linda Freedman

Councillor Nagus Narenthira
Councillor Laurie Williams

1. MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting held on 27 May 2021 be agreed as a correct record.

2. ABSENCE OF MEMBERS

None.

3. DECLARATION OF MEMBERS' DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTERESTS AND OTHER INTERESTS (IF ANY)

Councillor Sowerby notified the committee that he had met with the applicant for item 8 Meadow Works, over a year ago and had been shown the site, but he had made no comments to anyone and there was no pre-determination on the application

4. REPORT OF THE MONITORING OFFICE (IF ANY)

None.

5. ADDENDUM (IF APPLICABLE)

Items contained within the addendum would be dealt with under individual agenda items.

6. 21/1211/FUL - TELECOMMUNICATIONS MAST GLN7363 TOTTERIDGE VILLAGE LONDON (TOTTERIDGE)

Councillor Narenthira was unable to vote or partake in this item, due to not being present at the beginning.

The Committee received the report.

Representations were heard from Andrea Horsfield in objection to the application.

Following discussion on the item, the Chairman asked that a condition be added, to request that the colour be changed to one that was more suitable to the conservation area e.g., a dark green colour.

The Committee voted on the recommendation to approve the application as per the officer's recommendation, including the condition, that prior to its installation, details of

the materials and paint colour of the lattice tower and all ground-based cabinet/equipment shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The reason for this being to safeguard the visual amenity of the surrounding Green Belt.

Votes were recorded as follows:

For	6
Against	0
Abstained	0
Unable to vote	1

RESOLVED that the application be APPROVED subject to conditions in the report, including the additional condition that prior to its installation, details of the materials and paint colour of the lattice tower and all ground-based cabinet/equipment shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority AND the Committee grants delegated authority to the Service Director – Planning and Building Control to make any minor alterations, additions or deletions to the recommended conditions/obligations or reasons for refusal as set out in this report and addendum provided this authority shall be exercised after consultation with the Chairman (or in their absence the Vice- Chairman) of the Committee (who may request that such alterations, additions or deletions be first approved by the Committee).

7. 21/0730/FUL - THATCHAM COURT HIGH ROAD LONDON N20 9QU (OAKLEIGH)

The Committee received the report.

Representations were heard from Simon Fraser and John Meehan in objection to the application.

Representations were heard from the applicant.

The Committee voted on the recommendation to approve the application, as per the officer's report:

For	3
Against	4
Abstained	0

The application was therefore NOT approved.

The Chairman, seconded by Councillor Freedman, moved the motion to refuse the application for the following reasons:

1. The proposed development would fail to provide an appropriate mix of units, which would fail to contribute towards the identified shortfall of family units within the Borough or create inclusive and sustainable communities contrary to policy CS10 of Barnet Council's Core Strategy (adopted) 2012; policy DM08 of Barnet Council's Development Management Policies (adopted) 2012; and policy H.10 of the London Plan (2021).

2. The proposed development by reason of its height, bulk and scale would have a discordant appearance and would not relate sympathetically to the host building and would detrimentally harm the character and appearance of the site, the street scene and the wider surrounding area. As such the proposal is contrary to policies CS1, CS5 and CS NPPF of the Adopted Core Strategy (2012) and policy DM01 of the Local Plan Development Management Policies DPD (2012).

Votes on the motion to refuse the application, for the reasons outlined above were recorded as follows:

For	4
Against	2
Abstained	1

RESOLVED that the application be REFUSED for the reasons outlined and voted on above.

8. 20/5818/FUL - MEADOW WORKS GREAT NORTH ROAD BARNET EN5 1AU (OAKLEIGH)

The Committee received the report.

Representations were heard from Councillor Rajput in support of the application.
Representations were heard from the applicant.

The Committee voted on the recommendation to approve the application as per the officer's report:

For	7
Against	0
Abstained	0

RESOLVED that the application be APPROVED subject to the planning obligations specified in the report being secured by a s106 agreement and the conditions in the report AND the Committee grants delegated authority to the Service Director – Planning and Building Control to make any minor alterations, additions or deletions to the recommended conditions/obligations or reasons for refusal as set out in this report and addendum provided this authority shall be exercised after consultation with the Chairman (or in their absence the Vice- Chairman) of the Committee (who may request that such alterations, additions or deletions be first approved by the Committee).

9. 21/1112/HSE - 21 HASLEMERE AVENUE LONDON NW4 2PU (WEST HENDON)

The Committee received the report.

Representations were heard from the applicant.

The Committee voted on the recommendation to refuse the application, as per the officer's report:

For	1
Against	6
Abstained	0

The application was therefore NOT refused.

The Chairman, seconded by Councillor Fluss, moved a motion to approve the application for the reason that the surrounding properties have similar extensions, so the application is in-keeping with the character of the area.

Votes on the motion to approve the application, for the reasons outlined above were recorded subject to the following conditions:

1. The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans:
Drg No GA 01 02-Rev A - Block Plan
Drg No GA 01 01-Rev A - Site Location Plan
Drg No GA 03 100-Rev B - Existing Ground Floor Plan
Drg No GA 03 101-Rev B - Existing First Floor Plan
Drg No GA 03 102-Rev B - Existing Roof Plan
Drg No GA 05 01-Rev A - Existing North Elevation
Drg No GA 05 02-Rev A - Existing South Elevation
Drg No GA 05 03-Rev A - Existing West Elevation
Drg No GA 05 04-Rev A - Existing East Elevation
Drg No GA 03 100-Rev A - Proposed Ground Floor Plan
Drg No GA 03 101-Rev A - Proposed First Floor Plan
Drg No GA 03 102-Rev A - Proposed Roof Plan
Drg No GA 05 05-Rev A - Proposed North Elevation
Drg No GA 05 06-Rev A - Proposed South Elevation
Drg No GA 05 07-Rev A - Proposed West Elevation
Drg No GA 05 08-Rev A - Proposed East Elevation
Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning and so as to ensure that the development is carried out fully in accordance with the plans as assessed in accordance with Policies CS NPPF and CS1 of the Local Plan Core Strategy DPD (adopted September 2012) and Policy DM01 of the Local Plan Development Management Policies DPD (adopted September 2012).
2. This development must be begin within three years from the date of this permission.
Reason: To comply with Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.
3. The materials to be used in the external surfaces of the building(s) shall match those used in the existing building(s).
Reason: To safeguard the visual amenities of the building and surrounding area in accordance with Policy DM01 of the Development Management Policies DPD (adopted September 2012) and Policies CS NPPF and CS1 of the Local Plan Core Strategy (adopted September 2012).
4. The roof of the extension hereby permitted shall only be used in connection with the repair and maintenance of the building and shall at no time be converted to or used as a balcony, roof garden or similar amenity or sitting out area.

Reason: To ensure that the amenities of the occupiers of adjoining properties are not prejudiced by overlooking in accordance with policy DM01 of the Development Management Policies DPD (adopted September 2012).

For	6
Against	1
Abstained	0

RESOLVED that the application be APPROVED, subject to the conditions voted on above.

10. 21/0671/ADV - BURROUGHS INTO CHURCH ROAD AND ALONG BRENT STREET LONDON NW4 4BQ (HENDON)

The Committee received the report.

The Governance officer read out a statement on behalf of the applicant, who was unable to attend due to coronavirus related reasons.

The Committee voted on the recommendation to approve the application, as per the officer's report:

For	1
Against	6
Abstained	0

Therefore, the application was NOT approved.

Councillor Fluss, seconded by Councillor Farrier moved the motion to refuse the application for the following reasons:

The proposed advertisement banners, by reason of their excessive number, positions, size, siting, design and appearance would result in a proliferation and clutter of signs that would have an adverse impact on the street scene and the visual amenity of the immediate surrounding area contrary to paragraph 132 of the NPPF, Policy DM01 of the Barnet Development Management Policies DPD 2012, Policy CS5 of Barnet's Core Strategy (2012), and Barnet Design Guidance Note 1: Advertising and Signs.

Votes on the motion to refuse the application, for the reasons outlined above were recorded as follows:

For	6
Against	1
Abstained	0

RESOLVED that the application be REFUSED, as the proposed advertisement banners by reason of their excessive number, position, size, sighting, design and appearance would result in a proliferation and clutter of signs that would have an adverse impact on the street scene and the visual amenity of immediate surrounding area, contrary to policy.

11. ANY ITEM(S) THAT THE CHAIRMAN DECIDES ARE URGENT

None.

The meeting finished at 8.37pm.